AMAL J CHENNATTUSSERY'S Score For The Subject: Elective II

Semester: S5

Branch: BT

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 14

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage Questioning	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
17.49	14.31	7.37	4.78	3.36	9.25	4.75	6.45	6.75	11.5	10.43	96.44

AMAL J CHENNATTUSSERY's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	- 5
ı	Knowledge of the Teacher 1 Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	13	1	0	. 0	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	13	1	0	0	0
3	Willingness to Help 1 Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	13	1	0	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1 Less than 10% 2-10%-25% 3. More than 25% 4-Blank	9	3	0	2	
5	Dictates Notes Only Without Explaining 1. No 2. Yes 3. Blank	11	2	1		
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	14	0	0	0	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4.Blank	14	0	0	0	
8	Encourage Questioning 1. Yes 2. Sometimes 3. No. 4. Blank	12	2	0	0	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	14	0	0	0	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1 Sincere 2 Not Sincere 3 Unable to judge 4. Blank	14	0	0	0	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1 Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	12	1	0	0	1
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	87.14				

PRINCIPAL

Sree Chitra Thirunal

College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 189-12-2019. 11

ANITHA C S'S Score For The Subject: LINEAR Algebra and Calculus

Semester: S1

Branch : BT

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 52

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	to Help	Not	Dictates Notes Only Without Explaining		Speed of Presentation	Encourage Questioning	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
17.27	13.88	7.26	4.53	3.6	8.78	4.49	6.04	6.49	11.11	10.72	94.16

ANITHA C S's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	48	3	0	1	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1.Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	45	5	1	1	0
3	Willingness to Help 1.Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	46	5	1	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	35	1	3	13	
5	Dictates Notes Only Without Explaining 1. No 2. Yes 3. Blank	44	2	6		
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	46	4	1	1	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4.Blank	48	4	0	0	
8	Encourage Questioning 1. Yes 2. Sometimes 3. No. 4. Blank	40	9	1	2	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	49	0	1	2	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1 Sincere 2 Not Sincere 3 Unable to judge 4. Blank	49	0	2	ı	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	46	4	0	1	1
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	93.56				

THRUVAHAMANO THIRUVAHAMANA

Principal

PRINCIPAL

Sree Chitra Thirunal

College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 15

09-12-2019, 10

Sl. No	Strength and Weakness	Comments
1	good explanation	
2	very good	no
3	strength good	
4	WELL ORGANISED LECTURE MORE APPEALING TO THE STUDENTS PLEASANT ATTITUDE	
5	able to consider all types of students	
6	AMAZING TEACHER	
7	STRENGTH- GIVES A REALLY GOOD ATMOSPHERE TO STUDY AND IS VERY HELPFUL	
8	VERY GOOD CHARACTER EFFICIENT GREAT TEACHING EXCELLENT UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE CONCEPT TEACHES GREATLY	
9	STRENGTH-VERY GOOD NOTES	
10	good teaching	
11	STRENGTH - TEACHING WEAKNESS - NIL	
12	not good	nothing else
13	strenghtness, sincere	
14	strength=sincerity,best teaching	

Back to Home

Back to Prev. Page

SHE SHE

Sign Out

ANOOPKUMAR S'S Score For The Subject : DESIGN OF MACHINE ELEMENTS -I

Semester: S7

Branch: MP

Year : 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 58

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Dictates Notes Only Without Explaining	Lactura	Speed of Presentation	Encourage Questioning	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
15.99	11.75	6.4	4.63	3.36	7.36	4.03	6.27	5.61	10.71	9.81	85.93

ANOOPKUMAR S's Detailed Score Card

L No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	32	24	2	0	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	20	28	5	0	5
3	Willingness to Help 1 Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	27	26	5	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	35	12	7	4	
5	Dictates Notes Only Without Explaining 1. No 2. Yes 3. Blank	46	9	3		
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	23	33	2	0	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4. Blank	46	3	7	2	
8	Encourage Questioning 1. Yes 2. Sometimes 3. No. 4. Blank	48	8	1	1	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	45	9	3	1	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1 Sincere 2 Not Sincere 3 Unable to judge 4. Blank	51	0	6	1	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	27	27	3	0	1
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	83.02				



Fincipal

PRINCIPAL

Sree Chitra Thirunal

College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 18

09-12-2019, 11

Sl. No	Strength and Weakness	Comments
1	fsf	dfd
2	helpful	
3	Subjects like DME should be taught without ppt	

Back to Home

Back to Prev. Page

Sign Out

ARUN M'S Score For The Subject : ENGINEERING GRAPHICS

Semester: S2

Branch: ECB

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 43

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Abilty to organize Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage Questioning	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
16.85	13.82	6.66	4.87	2.88	8.47	3.87	5.89	6.16	10.57	10.24	90.27

ARUN M's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	34	8	0	0	1
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	35	7	0	0	1
3	Willingness to Help 1 Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	33	4	2	1	3
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	29	8	2	4	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	36	3	1	3	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4. Blank	32	3	3	5	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	38	2	0	3	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2. Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	38	1	0	4	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	33	7	0	0	3
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	91.74				

Principal

PRINCIPAL

Sree Chitra Thirunal

College of Engils-08-2019, 12

Trivandrum - 18

BAJI K'S Score For The Subject: SUSTAINABLE ENERGY PROCESSES

Semester: S8

Branch: MA

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 2

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	Not	Dictates Notes Only Without Explaining		Speed of Presentation	Encourage Questioning	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
17.75	14.5	7.5	4.76	0.67	9.25	4.75	6.88	6.75	11.5	11.25	95.56

BAJI K's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	2	0	0	0	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	2	0	0	0	0
3	Willingness to Help 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	2	0	0	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	1	1	0	0	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	2	0	0	0	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4. Blank	2	0	0	0	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	2	0	0	0	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2. Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	2	0	0	0	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	2	0	0	0	0
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	75				



Principal

PRINCIPAL
Sree Chitra Thirunai
College of Engl3-08-2019, 12
Trivandrum

LIBISH T M'S Score For The Subject: CYBER SECURITY

Semester: S8 Branch: ECB Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 6

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Abilty to organize Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
17.15	14.05	7.2	4.78	2.89	9.25	4.75	6.88	6.75	11.5	11.25	96.45

LIBISH T M's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	5	1	0	0	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	5	1	0	0	0
3	Willingness to Help 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	5	1	0	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	4	1	0	1	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	6	0	0	0	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4.Blank	6	0	0	0	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	6	0	0	0	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2. Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	6	0	0	0	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	6	0	0	0	0
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	87.5				



Principal

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunai College of Enginee 13-08-2019, 11 Trivandrum . 1

Sl. No	Strength and Weakness	Comments	
1	good	good	

Back to Home

Back to Prev. Page

Sign Out

SAJITH SETHU P'S Score For The Subject: DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

Semester: S2

Branch: ECB

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 43

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage	of the	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
15.99	12.64	5.98	4.44	2.69	7.52	3.71	5.38	5.69	9.11	9.69	82.83

SAJITH SETHU P's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	_ 1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	28	10	4	0	1
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	23	14	5	0	1
3	Willingness to Help 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	21	12	6	2	2
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	23	11	5	4	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	22	17	1	3	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4. Blank	30	3	4	6	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	34	5	1	3	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2. Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	30	4	5	4	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	25	ţţ	5	0	2
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	86.98				



Principal

Sl. No	Strength and Weakness	Comments
1	The teacher,s knowledge of the subject is too good but the way of presentation is only fair.	

Back to Home

Back to Prev. Page

Sign Out

SARE CHILINGS ON STREET OF STREET OF

SHIMI LAWARANCE'S Score For The Subject: DISASTER MANAGEMENT

Semester: S8

Branch: ECB

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 15

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Abilty to organize Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
16.54	13.78	6.9	4.58	3.11	8.46	4.75	6.07	6.75	11.5	10.81	93.25

SHIMI LAWARANCE's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	10	5	0	0	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	11	4	0	0	0
3	Willingness to Help 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	10	5	0	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	10	1	0	4	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	12	2	0	1	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4. Blank	15	0	0	0	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	15	0	0	0	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2. Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	15	0	0	0	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	12	3	0	0	0
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	86.67				

Principal

PRINCIPAL
Sree Chitra Thirunal
College of Englis-08-2019, 11
Trivandrum - 1

SOJA SALIM'S Score For The Subject: EMBEDDED SYSTEMS

Semester: S8

Branch: CSE

Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 6

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
14.73	12.26	6.61	5.12	3.61	7.27	4.19	6.38	6.75	11.5	9.4	87.81

SOJA SALIM's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	1	5	0	0	0
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	1	5	0	0	0
3	Willingness to Help 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	3	3	0	0	0
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	4	2	0	0	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	3	2	0	1	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4.Blank	5	0	0	1	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	6	0	0	0	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2. Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	6	0	0	0	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	1	5	0	0	0
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	82.5				

* PHOPMANAN MARINE

Principal

Sree Chitra Thiruna.
College of Engineers.
Trivandrum

Sl. No	Strength and Weakness	Comments
1	pleasant, positive	
2	strength: friendly easy to approach	

Back to Home

Back to Prev. Page

Sign Out



SYAMA S MOHAN'S Score For The Subject: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Semester: S2

Branch: ECE Year: 2019

Total Number of Students Participated in this feedback = 39

Knowledge of the Teacher	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation	Willingness to Help	% of Class Not Engaged	Without	Lecture	Speed of Presentation	Encourage	Behaviour of the teacher	Sincerity of the teacher	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher	Total Score
16.84	13.16	7.09	5.08	3.08	8.57	4.4	5.98	6.63	10.98	10.37	92.17

SYAMA S MOHAN's Detailed Score Card

SL No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
ı	Knowledge of the Teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	32	5	1	0	1
2	Clarity and Understandability of teacher's explanation 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	28	7	2	0	2
3	Willingness to Help 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	32	6	0	0	1
4	% of Class Not Engaged 1 Less than 10% 2. 10%- 25% 3. More than 25% 4. Blank	29	6	0	4	
6	Abilty to organize Lecture 1. Excellent 2. Satisfactory 3. Inadequate 4. Blank	31	7	0	1	
7	Speed of Presentation 1. Just Right 2. Too Fast 3. Too Slow 4.Blank	35	3	0	1	
9	Behaviour of the teacher 1. Pleasant 2. Indifferent 3. Unpleasant 4. Blank	38	0	0	1	
10	Sincerity of teacher 1. Sincere 2.Not Sincere 3. Unable to judge 4. Blank	36	0	2	1	
11	Overall Effectiveness of the teacher 1. Excellent 2. Good 3. Fair 4. Poor 5 Blank	31	5	1	0	2
12	Percentage of Syllabus covered by the teacher	96.92				



Principal

Sl. No	Strength and Weakness	Comments
1	OREE PWOLIII	DEIVA MESS
2	ammachi mwuthaanu	
3	NICE	
4	she is one of the best teacher in our college	
5	Great knowledege in her subjects	
6	well organized lectures	
7	kollam	set aanu

Back to Home

Back to Prev. Page

Sign Out

